## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/574,779	MAEDA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JIE YANG	1793		

	JIE YANG	1793			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which piaces application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) a Reque for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (set for thin (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any semed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	diance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	e of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>					
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21 See attached Nation of Non Co.	mpliant Amandment /	DTOL 224)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Inpliant Amendment (	F10L-324).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the		
7. \( \bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pror The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.5.7.9 and 13-15.		I be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) rejected. 1-5,7-9 and 13-15.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11,12 and 17-19.					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☑ Other: form 892 is attached.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/ Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793	/JieYang/				

Continuation of 7. Claims 6, 10, and 16 have been cancelled; claim 1 is amended; claims 11, 12, and 17-19 are withdrawn from consideration as non-elected claims; and claims 1-5, 7-9, and 13-15 remains for examination.

Previous rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is withdrawn since claim 16 has been cancelled.

Previous rejections of claims 1-5, 7-9 and, 13-15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are still maintained. The detail rejections can refer to the previous office action marked 2/16/2010. A new evidence reference Pitolaj (US 4,913,951, thereafter US 951) is introduced for the rejections. Regarding the newly added limitation of in said quenching, a pressing pressure by said molds is at least 2.94 N/cm<sup>2</sup> in the instant claim 1, JP'214 teaches the molded article cools simultaneously with fabrication using a metallic mold to a refrigerant, and the process is perforemd in the state where it pinched as it is. Therefore, the distortion generated by rapid cooling processing can be prevented. (Paragraph [0010] of JP'214). As pointed out in the previous office action in the rejection for claim 16, the pressing pressure is recognized as a result-effective variable in term of the mold pressing result, which depends on materials, heat temperature, and dimension of working piece, JP'214 teaches the same molding-heat, guenching, tempering processing on the similar carbon steel as recited in the instant invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the pressing pressure, for example at least 2.94 N/cm<sup>2</sup> as claimed in the instant claim in order to obtain the desired working pieces. This possition is further evidenced by Pitolai (US 4,913,951, thereafter US'951), US'951 teaches a method to fabricate a reinforced PTFE gasketing material by pressing forming method (Abstract of US'951), which includes stainless steel layer (Abstract and Fig.2-3 of US'951). US'951 teaches appying different pressure during heating and cooling on the forming mold (Fig. 2-6, Table I and Col.6, line 41 to Col.8, line 8 of US'951), the pressure of 600 PSI or more taught by US'951 is within the pressure range of at least 2.94 N/cm<sup>2</sup>2 as recited in the instant claim. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention made to apply proper pressure, for example at least 2.94 N/cm<sup>2</sup>2 as evidenced by US'951 in the process of JP'214 in view of US'227 in oder to obtain a desired press forming articles (Abstract of US'951).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Applicant arguers:

The JP214 does not teach the claimed pressing pressure at least 2.94 N/cm²2 of the instant claim 1. The Examiner did not provide any evidential support showing any relationship among the temperature in the heat treatment, the material and dimension of the work piece, and the pressing pressure in the quenching process.

IP 214 teaches the molded article cools simultaneously with fabrication using a metallic mold to a refrigerant, and the process is perforemd in the state where it pinched as it is. Therefore, the distortion generated by rapid cooling processing can be prevented. (Paregraph (1017) prevented.) Prevented. (Paregraph (1017) prevented.) Prevented (1017) pressing pressing residence at least 2.94N/cm²2, but adjusting pressing pressure during pressing forming in order to prevent distortion is obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art. This position is further evidenced by US'951 as discussed above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jie Yang whose telephone number is 571-2701884. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-2721244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.